

## FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING

<b>PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)</b>		1. Date of Land Evaluation Request 10-23-02	2. Sheet <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>	
3. Name of Project COL, SUT 20 ROADWAY REHABILITATION		4. Federal Agency Involved FHWA		
5. Proposed Land Use HIGHWAY		6. County and State COWASA, CA	7. Type of Project: Corridor <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>PART II (To be completed by NRCS)</b>		1. Date Request Received by NRCS 10-23-02	2. Person Completing the NRCS parts of this form ANDREA CASEY	
3. Does the site or corridor contain prime, unique, statewide or local important farmland? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> (If no, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form)		4. Acres Irrigated 276,562	5. Average Farm Size 532. ac	
6. Major Crop(s) Row crop, orchard, rice	7. Farmable Land in Government Jurisdiction Acres: 430,858 %		8. Amount of Farmland As Defined in FPPA Acres: Data N/A %	
9. Name of Land Evaluation System Used CA Storie Index	10. Name of Local Site Assessment System None		11. Date Land Evaluation Returned by NRCS	

<b>PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)</b>		<b>Alternative Site Rating</b>			
		Site A	Site B	Site C	Site D
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly		16			
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services		0			
C. Total Acres in Site		16			
<b>PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information</b>					
A. Total Acres Prime and Unique Farmland		4.8			
B. Total Acres Statewide and Local Important Farmland		9.1			
C. Percentage of Farmland in County or Local Govt. Unit to be Converted		.003			
D. Percentage of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction with Same or Higher Relative Value		.004			
<b>PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Criterion Relative Value of Farmland to be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points)</b>		26			
<b>PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor or Site Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(b &amp; c))</b>		Max. Points Corridor Other			
1. Area in Nonurban Use	15 15	15			
2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use	10 10	10			
3. Percent of Site Being Farmed	20 20	20			
4. Protection Provided by State and Local Government	20 20	0			
5. Distance from Urban Built-up area	0 15	11			
6. Distance to Urban Support Services	0 15	11			
7. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared to Average	10 10	10			
8. Creation of Non-Farmable Farmland	25 10	0			
9. Availability of Farm Support Services	5 5	5			
10. On-Farm Investments	20 20	20			
11. Effects of Conversion on Farm Support Services	25 10	0			
12. Compatibility with Existing Agricultural Use	10 10	0			
<b>TOTAL CORRIDOR OR SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS</b>	160	80			
<b>PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)</b>					
Relative Value of Farmland (from Part V above)	100	26			
Total Corridor or Site Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)	160	80			
<b>TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)</b>	260	106			

<b>PART VIII (To be completed by Federal Agency after final alternative is chosen)</b>	
1. Corridor or Site Selected:	2. Date of Selection:
3. Was A Local Site Assessment Used? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
4. Reason For Selection:	

Signature of person completing the Federal Agency parts of this form:

Andrea Casey

DATE

11/18/2002

## FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING

<b>PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)</b>		1. Date of Land Evaluation Request 10-23-02	2. Sheet <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>		
3. Name of Project COL SUT 20 ROADWAY REHABILITATION		4. Federal Agency Involved FHWA			
5. Proposed Land Use HIGHWAY		6. County and State SUTTER, CA		7. Type of Project: Corridor <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>PART II (To be completed by NRCS)</b>		1. Date Request Received by NRCS 10/23/02		2. Person Completing the NRCS parts of this form Gail Moffitt	
3. Does the site or corridor contain prime, unique, statewide or local important farmland? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> (If no, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form)		4. Acres Irrigated * 242,183		5. Average Farm Size * 265	
6. Major Crop(s) Sutter Co. crop Report Rice, Safflower, Walnuts		7. Farmable Land in Government Jurisdiction Acres: 348,349 % 90		8. Amount of Farmland As Defined in FPPA Acres: Not Available	
9. Name of Land Evaluation System Used California-Storie System		10. Name of Local Site Assessment System —		11. Date Land Evaluation Returned by NRCS 10/17/02	
<b>PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)</b>		<b>Alternative Site Rating</b>			
		Site A	Site B	Site C	Site D
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly		2			
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services		0			
C. Total Acres in Site		2			
<b>PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information</b>					
A. Total Acres Prime and Unique Farmland		2			
B. Total Acres Statewide and Local Important Farmland					
C. Percentage of Farmland in County or Local Govt. Unit to be Converted		0.00057			
D. Percentage of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction with Same or Higher Relative Value		—			
<b>PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Criterion Relative Value of Farmland to be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points)</b>		62			
<b>PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor or Site Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(b &amp; c))</b>		Max. Points Corridor Other			
1. Area in Nonurban Use		15 15	15		
2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use		10 10	10		
3. Percent of Site Being Farmed		20 20	20		
4. Protection Provided by State and Local Government		20 20	0		
5. Distance from Urban Built-up area		0 15	0		
6. Distance to Urban Support Services		0 15	0		
7. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared to Average		10 10	10		
8. Creation of Non-Farmable Farmland		25 10	0		
9. Availability of Farm Support Services		5 5	5		
10. On-Farm Investments		20 20	20		
11. Effects of Conversion on Farm Support Services		25 10	0		
12. Compatibility with Existing Agricultural Use		10 10	0		
<b>TOTAL CORRIDOR OR SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS</b>		160	80		
<b>PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)</b>					
Relative Value of Farmland (from Part V above)		100	62		
Total Corridor or Site Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)		160	80		
<b>TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)</b>		260	142		
<b>PART VIII (To be completed by Federal Agency after final alternative is chosen)</b>					
1. Corridor or Site Selected:		2. Date of Selection:		3. Was A Local Site Assessment Used? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
4. Reason For Selection:					

Signature of person completing the Federal Agency parts of this form:

DATE

Gail Moffitt

11/6/02